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Objectives

• By the end of this session participants will be able to:
  – Identify and have a plan for issues affecting breast cancer patients while on treatment
  – Identify and have a plan for issues affecting breast cancer patients after treatment completion
  – Have an understanding of the guidelines and evidence for the guidelines for long term follow up of breast cancer patients
Role of Primary Care Physician - is CENTRAL!
Phases of “Survivorship” in Breast Cancer

Acute treatment phase

- Surgery
- Radiation
- Chemotherapy

Prolonged treatment phase

- Anti-Her2 therapy
- Anti-estrogen therapy

Long term survivorship

- Risk of recurrent disease
- Risk of other health problems related to cancer therapy
Treatment Phase

• Breast Cancer treatment is divided into 3 modalities
  – Surgical excision
    • Mastectomy vs. Lumpectomy
    • Sentinel lymph node biopsy vs. axillary node dissection
  – Radiation
    • To remaining breast tissue +/- axilla
  – Chemotherapy and/or targeted therapy
    • Depending on pathological features of disease and risk of recurrence
Supporting Patients During treatment phase: Surgery

• Complications post-operatively can include
  – Seroma
    • Very common
    • Usually resorbed by body naturally
    • Occasionally requires drainage by surgeon
  – Infection
    • Not very common
    • Typical presentation includes redness, pain, swelling, purulent drainage and fever
    • Antibiotic coverage is necessary to ensure healing
  – Lymphedema
    • #1 thing my patients come to my office worried about
    • What is the deal???
Lymphedema: The real deal

• Incidence:
  – Systematic review and meta-analysis suggests that 1 in 5 breast cancer survivors will develop lymphedema
    • Defined as >2cm increase in arm circumference compared to contralateral side OR > 10% increase in size of arm compared to contralateral

• Risk Factors:
  – Disease related
  – Lifestyle related

DiSipio et al. Lancet Oncol 2013
# Lymphedema Risks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographics</th>
<th>Strong evidence</th>
<th>Moderate evidence</th>
<th>Weak evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Children in care aged ≤14 years*</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>1 (OR 0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High income*</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>2 (OR 0.2–0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age†‡</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education*</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disease and treatment</th>
<th>Strong evidence</th>
<th>Moderate evidence</th>
<th>Weak evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Axillary lymph node dissection†§</td>
<td>9 (OR 1.3–6.7; RR 2.7; HR 2.5–2.6)</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater number of lymph nodes dissected†</td>
<td>6 (OR 1.0–2.1; HR 1.2)</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mastectomy†</td>
<td>3 (OR 2.7–7.4)</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higher number of metastatic lymph nodes*</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>3 (OR 1.1–2.8; RR 2.0)</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiotherapy†</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>5 (OR 1.7–3.8; HR 1.3)</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receiving chemotherapy*</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>5 (OR 1.6–2.0; HR 1.4–3.7)</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axillary radiotherapy</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>5 (OR 0.1–7.7; HR 0.1; RR 2.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DiSipio et al. Lancet Oncol 2013
## Lymphedema Risks - Lifestyle

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lifestyle and behaviours</th>
<th>Strong evidence</th>
<th>Moderate evidence</th>
<th>Weak evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Higher body-mass index†‡</td>
<td>14 (OR 0.1–5.5; HR 1.4; RR 5.5)</td>
<td>·</td>
<td>·</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did not participate in regular physical activity*</td>
<td>·</td>
<td>2 (OR 2.1–6.1)</td>
<td>·</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Had blood pressure readings taken on the treated side*</td>
<td>·</td>
<td>·</td>
<td>1 (OR 3.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Had not done preventive self-care activities</td>
<td>·</td>
<td>·</td>
<td>1 (OR 12.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence of at least mild upper-body symptoms*</td>
<td>·</td>
<td>·</td>
<td>1 (OR 2.3–3.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence of comorbidities*</td>
<td>·</td>
<td>·</td>
<td>2 (OR 1.6; HR 0.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DiSipio et al. Lancet Oncol 2013
Lymphedema

• Based on systematic reviews and meta-analysis there is no reported negative association with risk of lymphedema and...
  – BP monitoring on affected side
  – IV access on affected side
  – Exercise (in fact evidence of benefit!)

• Encouraging patients to keep moving is key!!!
Preventing Breast Cancer Recurrence

• Purpose of radiation
  – To reduce loco-regional recurrence risk
  – Side effects largely related to local skin inflammation

• Purpose of chemotherapy/targeted therapy
  – To reduce risk of systemic recurrence and development of metastatic disease
  – Side effects related to type of systemic therapy
Treatment related side effects

• Chemotherapy
  – Febrile Neutropenia
    • Incidence 5-40% depending on regimen
    • Rate if G-CSF prophylaxis is used is <5%
      – Role of Primary Care physicians office in administration of G-CSF
  – Nausea and vomiting
    • Rate of vomiting should be low, treated preventatively with anti-emetics
  – Fatigue
    • Physical activity may help to reduce fatigue
Prolonged Treatment Phase

• Targeted therapy
  – Anti-her2 therapies
    • Cardiotoxicity long term in 5-20% of patients
      – Guidelines for assessment and long term management of cardio-toxicity related to chemotherapy are in development
        » Canadian Cardiovascular Society October 2015
  – Anti-estrogen therapies
    • Hot flushes
    • Bone health
    • Urogenital atrophy and sexual health
Duration of therapy

• Anti-Her2 therapy
  – Trastuzumab IV q3 weeks x 1 year
    • Subcut formulation developed and may change mode of delivery
    • Cardiac monitoring q12 weeks

• Assessing for drop in LVEF on anti-Her2 therapy
  • If LVEF falls below 50% or pt experiences >10% drop in LVEF Trastuzumab may need to be held
  • Cardiotoxicity from Trastuzumab is reversible damage to myocytes
    – If occurs in setting of irreversible damage from Anthracycline use long term consequences more severe

Swain S, et al. JCO Sept 2014
Heart Health

• Cancer and Heart disease are #1 and #2 killers of Canadians
  – Canadian Cardio-Oncology Network developed to help disseminate evidence and bring together cardiologists and oncologists for risk reduction strategies
  – Cardio-Oncology Clinics
    • Vancouver - Diamond Health Centre
  – Joint meetings held annually
    • [www.cardiaconcology.ca](http://www.cardiaconcology.ca)
Prolonged treatments – Anti-estrogen therapy

• Current recommendations
  – Pre-menopausal patient with ER+ disease
    • 10 years of Tamoxifen (Atlas and ATTOM studies)
    • Possible we will suggest addition of LHRH agonist if results of SOFT are positive (expected Dec. 2014)
  – Post-menopausal patient with ER+ disease
    • 10 years of Tamoxifen (OS benefit)
    • 2 years Tamoxifen followed by 3 year AI (OS benefit)
    • 5 years AI (OS equivalent to switch)
    • 5 years Tamoxifen followed by 5 years AI (DFS benefit only)
A decade is a long time!
Long term issues in Breast Cancer Survivors

• Long term consequences of therapy
  – Systemically
    • Bone health
    • Sexual health

• Long term health issues generally
  – Surprising gaps in transitioned care!
Long term treatment effects on bone

- **Chemotherapy**
  - May induce premature menopause

- **Aromatase Inhibitor therapy**
  - Associated with increased risk of osteoporosis over time
    - Continued exposure may increase risk further

- **Fatigue and weight gain**
  - Seen commonly in women going through therapy, sedentary lifestyle may further increase bone complications
Bone Health – Benefit beyond osteoporosis?

[S4-07] Effects of bisphosphonate treatment on recurrence and cause-specific mortality in women with early breast cancer: A meta-analysis of individual patient data from randomised trials


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No. events</th>
<th>Rate Ratio (SE)</th>
<th>10 year gain</th>
<th>2p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>All women (n=17,016)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast cancer mortality</td>
<td>2,049</td>
<td>0.91 (0.04)</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast cancer recurrence</td>
<td>3,284</td>
<td>0.94 (0.04)</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distant recurrence</td>
<td>2,751</td>
<td>0.92 (0.04)</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone recurrence</td>
<td>825</td>
<td>0.79 (0.07)</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other distant recurrence</td>
<td>1,926</td>
<td>0.99 (0.05)</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Postmenopausal women (n=10,540)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast cancer mortality</td>
<td>1,107</td>
<td>0.83 (0.06)</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast cancer recurrence</td>
<td>1,809</td>
<td>0.86 (0.05)</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distant recurrence</td>
<td>1,503</td>
<td>0.83 (0.05)</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone recurrence</td>
<td>445</td>
<td>0.65 (0.08)</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>0.00001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other distant recurrence</td>
<td>1,058</td>
<td>0.93 (0.06)</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Long term effects of treatment on sexual health

• Major quality of life issue
• Treatment induced menopause
  – Dyspareunia
  – Vaginal dryness
  – Loss of libido
• Anti-estrogen therapy
  – AI shown to increase risk of vaginal dryness, urogenital atrophy and dyspareunia
  – Rate of symptoms of UA in this population likely in range of 70%
  – If you don’t ask you’ll never know

Sexual Health – what to do?

• No clear guidelines
• Topical non-hormonal moisturizers
• Topical hormonal therapy
  – Fraught with controversy
  – VERY LITTLE EVIDENCE!!!!!
    • Dowsett et al. “WARNING – topical vaginal estrogens should not be used” Lancet Oncology 2006
      – Cohort study of 7 patients only!!!!!!!
  – Risk of systemic absorption very very low in patients with no previous diagnosis of breast cancer
    • Likely safe, but we have no evidence supporting harm or supporting safety

Dowsett et al. Lancet Oncology 2005
Simmons et al. Clin Oncol 2012
Long term issues – Are breast cancer survivors sicker than “regular” population?

Incidence rate of Non-Cancer Morbidity, Breast Cancer Survivors Dx 40+

With Relapse

Without Relapse

Controls

Lorenzi M, et al. ASCO 2013
Increased Incidence of Hospitalization Amongst Breast Cancer Survivors

• Birth year matched cohort of breast cancer patients >3 years out from diagnosis and women with no diagnosis of breast cancer
  – Linked registry data

• Rate Ratio (RR) for overall non-cancer morbidity
  – 1.52 (181.4 per 1000 p-y; 95% CI 1.47-1.57).
    • Nervous System (35.8 per 1000 p-y; RR 1.16, 95% CI 1.10-1.22),
    • Digestive System (33.4 per 1000 p-y; RR 1.32, 95% CI 1.28-1.36),
    • Genitourinary System (29.5 per 1000 p-y RR 1.52, 95% CI 1.43-1.61).

Lorenzi M, et al. ASCO 2013
Why is this?

• Aren’t we friendly enough?
  – Could be due to “too many cooks” or too few?
    • Medical oncologist may consider non-cancer related complaints trivial and under-estimate their impact on overall health
    • Family medicine may not know what is long term toxicity of cancer therapy vs. other health related problem
  – Does a diagnosis of breast cancer bias us in our approach to this patient in the future?
Why/How/When to Follow?

• Why follow-up patients?
  – To detect early local recurrences or contralateral breast cancer
  – To evaluate and treat therapy-related complications
  – To motivate patients continuing hormonal treatments
  – To provide psychological support and information in order to enable a return to normal life.

• How to follow up?
  – Ipsilateral (after BCS) and contralateral mammography is recommended every 1 to 2 years
  – Clinical breast exam every 3-6 months in first 3 years and annually thereafter
What NOT to do?

• “In asymptomatic patients, there are no data to indicate that other laboratory or imaging tests produce a survival benefit”
  • ESMO Guidelines 2013
– “Testing in addition to annual screening mammography is NOT recommended”
  • ASCO Guidelines 2013
– “There is good evidence AGAINST promoting BSE in women”
  • Canadian Clinical Practice Guidelines 2009, Baxter et al.
– WHY?
  • NO SURVIVAL ADVANTAGE
  • EVIDENCE OF HARM!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
RECOMMENDATION

Coordination of Care

• Risk of breast cancer recurrence continues through 15 years after primary treatment and beyond.

• Any physician performing continuity of care for breast cancer survivors should be experienced in:
  ▶ Surveillance of patients in cancer
  ▶ Breast examination (including irradiated breasts)

• Follow-up by a PCP seems to lead to the same health outcomes as specialist follow-up, with good patient satisfaction.

ASCO 2012 Guidelines
Coordination of Care (cont’d)

• Patients with early stage breast cancer (tumor <5cm and fewer than 4 positive nodes) who desire follow-up exclusively by a PCP may be transferred approximately 1 year post-diagnosis.

• If care is transferred to a PCP, both the PCP and the patient should be informed of the appropriate follow-up and management strategy.

• If the patient is receiving adjuvant endocrine therapy, she will need to be re-referred for oncology assessment.
Where are we now?

• In transition
  – Most medical oncologists continue to follow breast cancer patients up to 5 years
  – Some may discharge to PCP earlier
    • Depends on overall workload of oncologist BUT is this really fair?

• Likely that collaborative form of follow up would be ideal in the first 5 years
  – Would also ensure patient can be advised re: changes in anti-estrogen therapy or anti-Her2 therapy as further evidence arises
Collaborative approach is necessary for Clinical Care AND Research!
Summary

• PCPs play an important role in treatment and follow up of breast cancer patients
  – Treatment decisions with practitioner they trust

• Acute treatment related effects
  – Lymphedema
  – Radiation effects
  – Chemotherapy effects

• Longer term treatment effects
  – Cardiotoxicity
  – Bone health
  – Sexual health
Summary cont.

- Follow up guidelines indicate that patients should have clinical breast exam and follow up appointment q3-6 months x3 year then annually
  - Oncologist vs. PCP
  - Both is probably best!
Thank you!

- [www.allincancer.org](http://www.allincancer.org)